Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,896	ALFANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

(1) *Maureen M. Wallenhorst*.

(2) *Kent Cheng (Appl. Rep.)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *25 October 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
4, 7, 14, 15

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 4, 7, 14 and 15 in order to correct minor antecedent basis problems and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)